

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,263	03/10/2004	Robert S. Kowalski	90104.000002	5358
23387 7	590 01/31/2006	EXAMINER		INER
Stephen B. Salai, Esq. Harter, Secrest & Emery LLP 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604-2711			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H'					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/797,263	KOWALSKI, ROBERT S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value and the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	anuary 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-16</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $3/10/04$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/797,263 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 01/16/06 consists of amendments to claim 1 and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "150" has been used to designate both input receptacle (Paragraph 72) and interconnect module (see Paragraph 77). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 65, 82, 85, etc. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

Art Unit: 2839

amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Entire specification and all the figures should be checked for such omissions.

Specification

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Candeloro (6045374).

Candeloro (Figures 1-28) shows an electrical module comprising a housing defining an exterior and sealed interior, a male power output receptacle with a plurality of blades 350, 352, 354 and female power output receptacle 342 interconnected to a female power output receptacle 342. Candeloro further shows a user-actuated switch (see Fig. 12). The blades have terminal endings recessed relative to the connector housing. Figure 3 shows an integral projecting flange with a fastening aperture. But

Application/Control Number: 10/797,263

Art Unit: 2839

Candeloro does not show the power input blades 333, 334, 335 to be recessed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the blades 350, 352, 354 to be recessed since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

8. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Candeloro (6045374) in view of Zeder (5347095).

Candeloro shows all the features of these claims except a relay connected to the blades and a load circuit. Such a feature is common knowledge, well known and widely used in electrical connectors and circuits. Zeder shows such a relay 23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature because this would provide a means to control as is common knowledge, well known and widely used in electrical connectors and circuits.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2839

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

11. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner January 26, 2006